Doug Hankes, Jabez Partners, 9410 Elizabeth Lake Rd., White Lake, Mi 48386

White Lake Board of Trustees

July 5, 2014

**Re: OBJECTION**

**Dear Board Members;**

Last Wednesday, I was able to touch base with Carol Burkhard, WL Trustee, on the progress of my appeal, and not to pick on Carol, but her name is first on the roster, and she is smiling.

Among other comments, she informed me that Lisa is researching section 7.26 of the zoning code, for its application to my building. (A note added later. After confessing that Lisa said she was researching section 7-26 of the WL COde, Carol resigned her Board position, as Lisa after researching it, did not want to reveal her analysis. Never has and probably never will, because it clearly shows that I was in the right all along.)

Whoops on three accounts;

1. I have already expressed the fact that Lisa and Sean, misrepresented the code in taking my right to property. To have Lisa research her own impropriety is a complete abomination of justice.

Isn’t that like the IRS, and the VA investigating their own corruption? Like, can’t find anything wrong here. Right!

2. Lisa should be under investigation for bullying citizens of WL. (See my letter to Gregg of 3/24, on the enclosed disk, of my reaction to my “Lisa session”, and comments by other WL businessmen who have experienced the same intimidation.)

3. Lisa and Sean both have a bad habit of exercising law they did not research. Not only did Lisa and Sean misrepresent section 3 of the zoning code, but when I sent my first letter to you guys, I left the addressed envelopes with Valarie to distribute. It took over a week to get to you. Although that was enough time for Lisa to write the following “shut down” threat. {

Although meant to be intimidating, she only furthered her reputation for not researching BEFORE ATTACKING.

The demand to install hard wired smoke detectors is mote, because my building is exempt from that due to no attic, or basement. (see 3&4 on disk}

Also, the demand to replace my four 32” doors with 36” doors is mote due to the national building code, only requiring 32” doors. (see art 5 on disk)

Because replacing the doors would require structural changes, the two jobs together would have cost $8000. And at a time when my cash flow is negative due to Sean’s illegal seizure of my property rights, I would consider this EXTEME INTIMIDATION and an attempt to put me out of business.

Also, her building footage is wrong, the number of pre-existing apartments is wrong, and she sent a copy to the attorney I had previously dismissed. Huh? And Lisa is the entire face of WL’s legal representation. Prosecution, zoning, taxes, etc.

Can we expect Lisa to know everything? Apparently not.

I talked to my US Attorney guy Thursday, and please understand, with regards to WL, he is only an advisor, but he seems to know his stuff, OR WILL FIND OUT, so I’ll take him.

He said it appears a huge part of the problem is that WL does not have a division of internal affairs. Most communities would rather catch their own mistakes, before they get to the board…OR THE COURT..or the newspaper.

He suggested I mention to the board that this is something they should immediately address, as of right now, I alone have five offenses to be investigated.

1. Are Lisa and Sean badgering business owners? Suggest informal hearing with all business owners that have had these sessions. Also, I have talked to several people that were prosecuted in District Court, by Lisa, and their comments follow those of the intimidated business owners, so interview them as well.

(art 6&7)

2. Were Lisa and Sean incompetent in their taking of my property? Sean’s letter quoting section 3 previously furnished)

5. Was Sgt Holland’s assault on my building founded in fire investigation, or with intent to do unnecessary harm?

And in closing, when Carol told me that WL was using Lisa to investigate HER OWN incompetence, I told her this synchronistic story.

After receiving Lisa’s last intimidation letter, I called my zoning expert professor for a recommendation on a really good zoning attorney to represent me, as it looked like going to court is all but inevitable.

He gave me the name of Thomas R. Schultz with Johnson, Rosati, Schultz, and Joppich, 27555 Executive Drive, Suite 250, Farmington Hills, MI 48331, as one of the best in the business. I contacted Tom who is very nice, and knowledgeable, although he said he could not represent me, because their firm had done some work for WL, and it would be a conflict of interest. I suggested to Carol, that WL get him back, and just settle this whole thing without another cover up by Lisa.

Carol told me that WL has complete faith in Foster Swift, so that would not happen.

So then I thought, hey, if WL doesn’t want to use one of the best, why not me. So I asked my US Attorney if they could block any attorney that has ever worked for WL. He said…absolutely not. Otherwise, a community could have every decent attorney in town write a letter or something for them, and then there would be no attorney’s left for the defendants.

He told me to have WL write a letter to the above firm releasing them from any conflict of interest with WL, so he could represent me. I am hereby requesting that WL do so immediately. Please copy me on that letter.

If not, than under the freedom of information act, I am requesting that you give me the last time Tom Schultz, or his firm, has worked for WL, and why. I can than take that information to the judge to receive an order that I can use him. Any proceedings will be stayed until that declaration is addressed. Also any attempt to engage him after receiving this letter would be seen as blocking, which the judge can also dismiss.

As I have said before, the further this goes, the messier it gets, and I feel that having Lisa “kick the can” further down the road, just to cover her own impropriety is not in the best interest of WL.

Yours truly;

Doug Hankes